

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P. Dex 1450 Alexandria, Veginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/423,004 26753 7	01/31/2000	MATTI LINKO	2534-00053	2534-00053 / 3	
ANDRUS, SCEALES, STARKE & SAWALL, LLP 100 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE, SUITE 1100			EXAMINER		
MILWAUKEE		SHERRER, CURTIS EDWARD			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1761		
			DATE MAILED: 07/21/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary  Exal  Curt  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS STHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06/27/03.	ET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(  no event, however, may a reply be tin  the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day, and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  the application to become ARANDONE	(S) FROM  mely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS S THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the fixing period will period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the annual period will apply.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the annual period will apply.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the annual period will apply.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the annual period will apply.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the annual period will apply.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the annual period will apply.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the annual period will apply.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the annual period will apply.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the statute, cause the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the statute, c	miner is E. Sherrer on the cover sheet with the cover sheet	Art Unit  1761  correspondence address  (S) FROM  mely filed  rs will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this communication.
Curt  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the finding of the provision of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the time to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 06/27/03.  2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 1,3-12 and 15-20 is/are pending in the appuration of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from the claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) 1,3-12 and 15-20 is/are rejected.	ET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH( no event, however, may a reply be time the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ARANDONE	1761  correspondence address  (S) FROM  mely filed  s will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this communication.
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS S THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Ir after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the fix No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 06/27/03.  2a) ■ This action is FINAL. 2b) ■ This action is actional to the practice under Expair Disposition of Claims  4) ■ Claim(s) 1,3-12 and 15-20 is/are pending in the apputant of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from 5) ■ Claim(s) 1,3-12 and 15-20 is/are rejected.	ET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(  no event, however, may a reply be time statutory minimum of thirty (30) days and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ARANDONE	(S) FROM  mely filed  rs will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this communication.
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS S THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Ir after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within to if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause to the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06/27/03.  2a) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06/27/03.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance exposed in accordance with the practice under Expansional patents of the above claim(s) 1,3-12 and 15-20 is/are pending in the apputant of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1,3-12 and 15-20 is/are rejected.	ET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(  no event, however, may a reply be tin  the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day, and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  the application to become ARANDONE	(S) FROM  mely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.
<ul> <li>IHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Ir after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the fixed period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the properties of the mailing date of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> <li>Status</li> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 06/27/03 and 2a) This action is FINAL.</li> <li>2b) This action is application is in condition for allowance explosed in accordance with the practice under Expanding in the application of Claims</li> <li>4) Claim(s) 1.3-12 and 15-20 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>Claim(s) 1.3-12 and 15-20 is/are rejected.</li> </ul>	no event, however, may a reply be time the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ARANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is in condition for allowance expanding in accordance with the practice under Expanding in the appoint of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-12 and 15-20 is/are pending in the appoint is/are withdrawn from 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-12 and 15-20 is/are rejected.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex part Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1,3-12 and 15-20 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1,3-12 and 15-20 is/are rejected.		•
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex part Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 1,3-12 and 15-20 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) 1,3-12 and 15-20 is/are rejected.	on is non-final.	
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1,3-12 and 15-20 is/are pending in the app</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1,3-12 and 15-20 is/are rejected.</li> </ul>	xcept for formal matters, pr te Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to the merits is 153 O.G. 213.
<ul> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1,3-12 and 15-20</u> is/are rejected.</li> </ul>	iaatia	
<ul> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1,3-12 and 15-20</u> is/are rejected.</li> </ul>		
6) Claim(s) <u>1,3-12 and 15-20</u> is/are rejected.	· ·	
, (3,4,4,5,)		
8)☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or electi	on requirement	•
Application Papers	on roquirement.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or	o)⊡ objected to by the Exar	niner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawin	ng(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)[	☐ approved b)☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to the		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have		
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority doc</li> <li>application from the International Bureau (F</li> <li>See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the</li> </ul>	CT Rule 17.2(a))	_
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priorit	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e)	) (to a provisional application).
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisiona</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priori</li> </ul>	I application has been rece	eived.
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s)

Application/Control Number: 09/423,004

Art Unit: 1761

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 3-12 and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is indefinite because it is not clear what amount of the diacetyl contained in the unmatured beer is reduced to acetoin.

Claims 9 and 19 are indefinite because the scope of the phrase "on the order of" is unknown.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-12 and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pajunen et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 4,915,959)(hereinafter Pajunen) in view of Ryu et al. (Eur. L. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol., 1982, 15 (1) 1-8)("Ryu") for the reasons set forth in the last Office Action.

Application/Control Number: 09/423,004

Art Unit: 1761

# Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments filed 06/27/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that Ryu and Pajunen do not disclose certain aspects of the claims. Specifically, applicants point out that Ryu does not teach that immobilized yeast can be used in a maturation process for decreasing the content of diacetyl and that Pajunen does not teach the use of wood chips. In response to applicants' argument against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Applicants argue that there is no motivation to immobilize the yeast in Pajunen on the wood chips (used for the same purpose of immobilizing yeast) of Ryu. Case law holds that the selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use supported a prima facie obviousness determination in *Sinclair & Carroll Co. v. Interchemical Corp.*, 325 U.S. 327, 65 USPQ 297) (1945).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Curtis E. Sherrer whose telephone number is 703-308-3847. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday, 8AM-6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 703-308-3959. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3602 for regular communications and 703-305-3602 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Curtis E. Sherrer Primary Examiner

July 18, 2003